

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/751,585	SUNDERMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	

All Participants:

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) Serle Mosoff.

Date of Interview: 19 January 2006

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: __

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The outstanding 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 5.

Claims discussed:

claim 5

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Mosoff returned a phone call (placed to Mr. Ziegler the day before) during which it was agreed to delete the "preferably" clause from claim 5 in order to pass the application to issue. (This deleted limitation is contained in new claim 36).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 **BRIAN DAVIS**
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)